### DDA4210/AIR6002 Advanced Machine Learning Lecture 09 Causal Machine Learning

### Tongxin Li

School of Data Science, CUHK-Shenzhen

Spring 2024

# Motivation

## Trustworthy ML

### Al Tools are Everywhere



#### Review

First Part of This Course:

- Ensemble
- Learning Theory
- GNN
- Generative Models

Focus more on a single merit: accuracy

### AI Tools Are NOT Always Trustworthy



#### **GPT-4 Technical Report**

**OpenAI**\*

#### Abstract

We report the development of GPT-4, a large-scale, multimodal model which can accept image and text inputs and produce text outputs. While less capable than humans in many real-world scenarios, GPT-4 exhibits human-level performance on various professional and academic benchmarks, including passing a simulated bar exam with a score around the top 10% of test takers. GPT-4 is a Transformer-based model pre-trained to predict the next token in a document. The post-training alignment process results in improved performance on measures of factuality and adherence to desired behavior. A core component of this project was developing infrastructure and optimization methods that behave predictably across a wide range of scales. This allowed us to accurately predict some aspects of GPT-4's performance based on models trained with no more than 1/1,000th the compute of GPT-4.

### A Toy Example

### **GPT-4 Technical Report**

**OpenAI**\*

Abstract

we used python



### A Toy Example

	[GPT-4 answers correctly]	[GPT-4 answers incorrectly]		
Can you teach an old dog new tricks? Yes, you can teach an old dog new tricks ← choice You can't teach an old dog new tricks No, you can't teach an old dog new tricks No, you can't teach an old dog new tricks Perkins Presley ← choice Elvis Presley His name is Elvis Presley His name is Elvis Presley	Can you teach an old dog new tricks? Yes, you can teach an old dog new tricks ← choice You can't teach an old dog new tricks No, you can't teach an old dog new tricks	Son of an actor, this American guitarist and rock singer released many songs and albums and toured with his band. His name is "Elvis" what? Perkins Presley ← choice Elvis Presley His name is Elvis Presley		

**Table 4:** Example of GPT-4 giving correct and incorrect responses on TruthfulQA

### A Toy Example

#### 2 Scope and Limitations of this Technical Report

This report focuses on the capabilities, limitations, and safety properties of GPT-4. GPT-4 is a Transformer-style model [33] pre-trained to predict the next token in a document, using both publicly available data (such as internet data) and data licensed from third-party providers. The model was then fine-tuned using Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) [34]. Given both the competitive landscape and the safety implications of large-scale models like GPT-4, this report contains no further details about the architecture (including model size), hardware, training compute, dataset construction, training method, or similar.

We are committed to independent auditing of our technologies, and shared some initial steps and ideas in this area in the system card accompanying this release.<sup>2</sup> We plan to make further technical details available to additional third parties who can advise us on how to weigh the competitive and safety considerations above against the scientific value of further transparency.

Trustworthy Methods Connect AI to Physical Worlds





### Outlook

Second Part of This Course:

- Causal Learning (This lecture)
- Differential Privacy and Federated Learning
- Fairness in ML
- Explainable AI (XAI)

Focus on more attributes: causality, privacy, fairness, and interpretability

## This Lecture:

### Introduction to Causal Learning

### Outline

- Examples Simpson's Paradox
- Causal inference Backdoor Adjustment **Formal Definitions**
- Causal discovery The PC Algorithm Nonlinear ICA

• Disentanglement Identifiable VAE

### Outline

- Causal inference
- Causal discovery
- Disentanglement



Causal learning is a full course in many schools

We will only cover selective topics

# Part I

## Causal Inference

# Part I.I

## Simpson's paradox and Examples

Motivating example: Simpson's paradox

Simpson's paradox: COVID-29



Treatment T: A(0) or B(1)

Condition C: Mild (0) or Severe (1)

Outcome *Y*: Happy (0) or Unhappy (1)



Motivating example: Simpson's paradox

Simpson's paradox: COVID-29



Treatment T: A(0) or B(1)

Condition *C*: Mild (0) or Severe (1)

Outcome Y: Survive (0) or Not (1)





Simpson's paradox: Mortality Rate Table

### Mortality Rate Table



### Mortality Rate Table

### Condition

		Mild	Severe	Total
Treatment	А	15% (210/1400)	30% (30/100)	<b>16%</b> (240/1500)
	В	<b>10%</b> (5/50)	<b>20%</b> (100/500)	19% (105/550)
		$\mathbb{E}[Y T, C=0]$	$\mathbb{E}[Y T, C = 1]$	$\mathbb{E}[Y T]$

Simpson's paradox: Mortality Rate Table

Mortality Rate Table Statistics/Data



#### Which treatment should you choose?

Simpson's paradox: scenario I



Simpson's paradox: scenario I (treatment B)



Simpson's paradox: scenario II







#### What do we learn from the simpson's paradox?

#### More examples



### What do we learn from the simpson's paradox?



### What do we learn from the simpson's paradox?



Source: https://www.tylervigen.com/spurious-correlations

What do we learn from the simpson's paradox?

Correlation does not imply causation

Correlation is not enough

Statistical learning vs Causal learning

### Why causality matters in machine learning?



### Why causality matters in machine learning?





### Correlation is the very basics of machine learning.



@marketoonist.com

### Why causality matters in machine learning?

### Relying solely on correlation can cause problems



# Part I.2

## Simpson's paradox and Examples
Inferring the effects of any treatment/policy/intervention/etc.

### **Examples:**

- Effect of treatment on a disease
- Effect of climate change policy on emissions
- Effect of social media on mental health
- Many more (effect of X on Y)

Inferring the effects of any treatment/policy/intervention/etc.

- **Examples:** Effect of treatment on a disease
  - Effect of climate change policy on emissions
  - Effect of social media on mental health
  - Many more (effect of X on Y)

How do we measure causal effects with interventions?

How do we measure causal effects in observational studies?

### **Potential outcomes**



### **Do Operator**

Inferring the effect of treatment/policy on some outcome



### **Do Operator**

Inferring the effect of treatment/policy on some outcome



T	: observed treatment
Y	: observed outcome
i	: used in subscript to denote a
	specific unit/individual
$Y_i(1)$ : potential outcome under treatment	
$Y_i(0)$	) : potential outcome under no treatment

Causal effect  $Y_i(1)$  $Y_i(0) = 1$ 

### A Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference



### A Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference



Inferring the effects of any treatment/policy/intervention/etc.

- **Examples:** Effect of treatment on a disease
  - Effect of climate change policy on emissions
  - Effect of social media on mental health
  - Many more (effect of X on Y)

How do we measure causal effects with interventions?

How do we measure causal effects in observational studies?

Causal inference with observations ( Optional)

How do we measure causal effects in observational studies?

Can't always randomize treatment

- Ethical reasons (e.g. unethical to randomize people to smoke for measuring effect on lung cancer)
- Infeasibility (e.g. can't randomize countries into communist/capitalist systems to measure effect on GDP)
- Impossibility (e.g. can't change a living person's DNA at birth for measuring effect on breast cancer)



Causal inference with observations (OP + in A = L)

Solution: backdoor adjustment

Formal assumptions are needed (omitted)







## Part II

### Causal Discovery

# Part II Causal Discovery

I. Linear Case

What is causal discovery?

How do we know this relation for the COVID-29 example?



A key problem: identifiability

What is causal discovery?

How do we know this relation for the COVID-29 example?



A key problem: identifiability

Many methods ...

We focus on ICA in this lecture

Suppose the underlying mechanism is linear

What is causal discovery?

How do we know this relation for the COVID-29 example?



Identifiability: Observe  $\mathbf{x}$ , want A and  $\mathbf{s}$ 

Many methods ...

We focus on ICA in this lecture

Suppose the underlying mechanism is linear

### Why causality matters in machine learning?

#### Three sources of correlation:



How do identify them, as a graph?

Independent Component Analysis

ICA as principled unsupervised learning





Requires preprocessing: autoscaling

Often benefits from first applying PCA

ICA as principled unsupervised learning

Unsupervised learning can have different goals

- 1) Accurate model of data distribution?
  - E.g. Variational Autoencoders are good
- 2) Sampling points from data distribution?
  - E.g. Generative Adversarial Networks are good
- 3) Useful features for supervised learning?
  - Many methods, "Representation learning"
- 4) Reveal underlying structure in data, disentangle latent quantities?
  - Independent Component Analysis

Independent Component Analysis

ICA as principled unsupervised learning

Linear independent component analysis (ICA)

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{a_{ij}s_j(t)}_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j = 1 \dots n$$

 $\leq .(+)$ 

52(4)

 $S_{+}(+)$  $S_{5}(+)$ 

 $= (a_{ij})$ 

 $(2) = A \leq (1)$   $\times (1) \qquad \downarrow$ 

mixine

 $\succ x_i(t)$  is *i*-th observed signal at sample point t (possibly time)

*a<sub>ij</sub>* constant parameters describing "mixing"

Assuming independent, non-Gaussian latent "sources" s<sub>j</sub>

Identifiability: Find Independent Components (Sources)

ICA as principled unsupervised learning

Linear independent component analysis (ICA)

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j(t)$$
 for all  $i, j = 1...n$  (2)

- $\blacktriangleright x_i(t)$  is *i*-th observed signal at sample point t (possibly time)
- *a<sub>ij</sub>* constant parameters describing "mixing"
- Assuming independent, non-Gaussian latent "sources" s<sub>j</sub>

The independent components are identifiable (up to permutation and scaling of the sources)

Assumptions: At most one of the sources  $s_j$  is Gaussian

 $A = (a_{ij})$  is full-rank

ICA as principled unsupervised learning

Linear independent component analysis (ICA)

$$x_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} s_j(t)$$
 for all  $i, j = 1...n$  (2)

- $\triangleright$   $x_i(t)$  is *i*-th observed signal at sample point t (possibly time)
- *a<sub>ij</sub>* constant parameters describing "mixing"
- Assuming independent, non-Gaussian latent "sources" s<sub>j</sub>

ICA is identifiable, i.e. well-defined: (Darmois-Skitovich ~1950; Comon, 1994)

- Observing only x<sub>i</sub> we can recover both a<sub>ij</sub> and s<sub>j</sub>
- I.e. original sources can be recovered

Independent Component Analysis

### Identifiability means ICA does blind source separation

Observed signals:



Principal components:



Independent components are original sources:



credits:Aapo Hyvarinen

### Independent Component Analysis



(Hyvärinen, Ramkumar, Parkkonen, Hari, 2010)

credits:Aapo Hyvarinen

## Part II

### Causal Discovery and Disentanglement

2. General Case

What if we consider the nonlinear setting?

Linear ICA:  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{s}$ 

Deep generative models:  $\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{s})$  What is  $f^{-1}$ ?

Identifiability of the deep latent-variable models.

$$p_{\theta}(x) = p_{\theta}*(x) \longrightarrow \theta * = \theta \longrightarrow p_{\theta}*(x,z) = p_{\theta}(x,z)$$

$$\implies p_{\theta^*}(z) = p_{\theta}(z)$$
  
Disentanglement  
 $p_{\theta^*}(x \mid z) = p_{\theta}(x \mid z)$ 

### Disentanglement

# Better Mixing via Deep Representations Yoshua Bengio<sup>1</sup> CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Dept. IRO, Université de Montréal. Montréal (QC), H2C 3J7, Canada CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Grégoire Mesnil<sup>1</sup> CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Dept. IRO, Université de Montréal. Montréal (QC), H2C 3J7, Canada CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Vann Dauphin CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Salah Rifai CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Dept. IRO, Université de Montréal. Montréal (QC), H2C 3J7, Canada CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA Chept. IRO, Université de Montréal. Montréal (QC), H2C 3J7, Canada CHECKMY@WEBPAGE.CA

Find disentangled representations in unsupervised data.

An important topic in causal learning

A problem in deep generative models

### Identifiability of Nonlinear Independent Component Analysis ( OPHIONAL)

### Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models:  $\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{s})$  What is  $f^{-1}$ ?

Deep generative models are are not identifiable in general

(Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

 $\implies$  basic VAEs, GANs, Nonlinear ICA etc. are unidentifiable:

Identifiability problem  $p_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \mathbf{f} = \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ 

### Identifiability of Nonlinear Independent Component Analysis ( 09410106)

### Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models:  $\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{s})$  What is  $f^{-1}$ ?

Deep generative models are are not identifiable in general

(Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

 $\implies$  basic VAEs, GANs, Nonlinear ICA etc. are unidentifiable:

We can add structures/assumptions on the distribution of s to ensure identifiability

### Identifiability of Nonlinear Independent Component Analysis ( OPHIONAL)

Identifiability

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = p_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}(\mathbf{x}) \implies \boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ \forall (\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Deep generative models:  $\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{s})$ 

Deep generative models are are not identifiable in general

(Hyvärinen and Pajunen, 1999; Khemakhem et al., 2020; Locatello et al., 2019)

 $\implies$  basic VAEs, GANs, Nonlinear ICA etc. are unidentifiable:



Extend ICA to nonlinear case to get deep learning? Unfortunately, "basic" nonlinear ICA is not identifiable: If we define nonlinear ICA model simply as

 $x_i(t) = f_i(s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t))$  for all  $i, j = 1 \dots n$ 

we cannot recover original sources (Darmois, 1952; Hyvärinen & Pajunen, 1999)



### $\beta$ -VAE



 $\mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})] - \beta D_{KL}(\log q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}) || p(\mathbf{z})) \quad \text{Increase } \beta \text{ can encourage disentanglement}$ 

Why?

Higgins, Irina, et al. "beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework." International conference on learning representations. 2017.

From the last lecture:

$$egin{aligned} \max_{\phi, heta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x})} [\log p_{ heta}(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z})] \ & - D_{\mathcal{KL}}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{x}) \| p(\mathbf{z})) \end{aligned}$$

- maximize  $\mathbb{E}_{z \sim q_{\phi}(z|x)}[\log p_{\theta}(x|z)]$ : reconstruct **x**
- minimize  $D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})||p(\mathbf{z}))$ : approximate prior

 $\mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})}[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z})] - \beta D_{KL}(\log q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}) \mid | p(\mathbf{z})) \quad \text{Increase } \beta \text{ can encourage disentanglement}$ 

Higgins, Irina, et al. "beta-vae: Learning basic visual concepts with a constrained variational framework." International conference on learning representations. 2017.

### FactorVAE

Idea:  $\beta$ -VAE optimizes the two terms together, FactorVAE separates them



Kim, H. and Mnih, A., 2018, July. Disentangling by factorising. In International Conference on Machine Learning (pp. 2649-2658). PMLR.

### FactorVAE



Idea:  $\beta$ -VAE optimizes the two terms together, FactorVAE separates them
VAE 
$$p(z) \longrightarrow p(z \mid u)$$
 i-VAE

**Main Assumption**: A conditionally factorized prior distribution over the latent variables  $p_{\theta}(z|u)$ , where u is an additionally observed variable And the data generation stage is a additive noise model  $x = f(z) + \epsilon$ 

p(z|u) is conditionally factorial

$$p(z|u) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(z_i|u),$$

Maximize  $ELBO = E_D(E_{q_{\phi}(z|x,u)} \log p_{\theta}(x|z,u) - KL(q_{\phi}(z|x,u)||p(z|u))$ 

Khemakhem, Ilyes, et al. "Variational autoencoders and nonlinear ica: A unifying framework." International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. PMLR, 2020.

#### Identifiable VAE (i-VAE)



(a)  $p_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{u})$  (b)  $p_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{u})$  (c)  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$  (d)  $p_{\text{VAE}}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ 



Q:Which method is better?

# Part III

Summary

#### Learning Outcomes

- Appreciate how causal learning differs from statistical learning
- Understand the tasks of causal inference and causal discovery
- Be able to describe ICA and its identifiability
- Be able to connect nonlinear ICA and the disentanglement problem in generative models
- Know what  $\beta$ -VAE, FactorVAE, I-VAE are

## Motivation

### Trustworthy ML